













In payment it was found to be for £32 3s. 6d. This circumstance is relied on as an attempt to explain the fact that the account was not paid by cheque. The fact that the account was not paid by cheque is not a sufficient explanation. The fact that the account was not paid by cheque is not a sufficient explanation. The fact that the account was not paid by cheque is not a sufficient explanation.

Board of directors of the Colonial Bank, 1887, of £32 3s. 6d., thus supporting Neale's evidence as to the fact. This would appear to be in support of the fact that the account was not paid by cheque. The fact that the account was not paid by cheque is not a sufficient explanation. The fact that the account was not paid by cheque is not a sufficient explanation. The fact that the account was not paid by cheque is not a sufficient explanation.

Mr. Neale was examined by Mr. Justice. He was asked whether he could give a receipt for the money. He said he could not. He was asked whether he could give a receipt for the money. He said he could not. He was asked whether he could give a receipt for the money. He said he could not.

Mr. Neale was examined by Mr. Justice. He was asked whether he could give a receipt for the money. He said he could not. He was asked whether he could give a receipt for the money. He said he could not. He was asked whether he could give a receipt for the money. He said he could not.

Mr. Neale was examined by Mr. Justice. He was asked whether he could give a receipt for the money. He said he could not. He was asked whether he could give a receipt for the money. He said he could not. He was asked whether he could give a receipt for the money. He said he could not.

work, which was then transferred to the hands of Messrs. Neale and Miles. Later on (20th Feb. 1889) a Royal Commission was appointed to inquire into the affairs of the Colonial Bank. The Commission was composed of Messrs. Neale and Miles, and a third member, Mr. Justice. The Commission was to inquire into the affairs of the Colonial Bank, and to report to the Government.

Mr. Neale was examined by Mr. Justice. He was asked whether he could give a receipt for the money. He said he could not. He was asked whether he could give a receipt for the money. He said he could not. He was asked whether he could give a receipt for the money. He said he could not.

Mr. Neale was examined by Mr. Justice. He was asked whether he could give a receipt for the money. He said he could not. He was asked whether he could give a receipt for the money. He said he could not. He was asked whether he could give a receipt for the money. He said he could not.

Mr. Neale was examined by Mr. Justice. He was asked whether he could give a receipt for the money. He said he could not. He was asked whether he could give a receipt for the money. He said he could not. He was asked whether he could give a receipt for the money. He said he could not.

## LAW REPORT.

## SUPREME COURT.—WEDNESDAY, MAY 8.

*In Bankruptcy.—(Before His Honor the Chief Justice, Mr. Justice.)*  
The case of *John Griffith v. The Trustees of the Bank of New South Wales* was heard. The case was heard by Mr. Justice. The case was heard by Mr. Justice. The case was heard by Mr. Justice.

Mr. Griffith was examined by Mr. Justice. He was asked whether he could give a receipt for the money. He said he could not. He was asked whether he could give a receipt for the money. He said he could not. He was asked whether he could give a receipt for the money. He said he could not.

Mr. Griffith was examined by Mr. Justice. He was asked whether he could give a receipt for the money. He said he could not. He was asked whether he could give a receipt for the money. He said he could not. He was asked whether he could give a receipt for the money. He said he could not.

Mr. Griffith was examined by Mr. Justice. He was asked whether he could give a receipt for the money. He said he could not. He was asked whether he could give a receipt for the money. He said he could not. He was asked whether he could give a receipt for the money. He said he could not.

Mr. Griffith was examined by Mr. Justice. He was asked whether he could give a receipt for the money. He said he could not. He was asked whether he could give a receipt for the money. He said he could not. He was asked whether he could give a receipt for the money. He said he could not.

arrangement with Mr. Griffith the witness should take over the whole of the liabilities of the bank. The witness was asked whether he could give a receipt for the money. He said he could not. He was asked whether he could give a receipt for the money. He said he could not.

Mr. Griffith was examined by Mr. Justice. He was asked whether he could give a receipt for the money. He said he could not. He was asked whether he could give a receipt for the money. He said he could not. He was asked whether he could give a receipt for the money. He said he could not.

Mr. Griffith was examined by Mr. Justice. He was asked whether he could give a receipt for the money. He said he could not. He was asked whether he could give a receipt for the money. He said he could not. He was asked whether he could give a receipt for the money. He said he could not.

Mr. Griffith was examined by Mr. Justice. He was asked whether he could give a receipt for the money. He said he could not. He was asked whether he could give a receipt for the money. He said he could not. He was asked whether he could give a receipt for the money. He said he could not.

Mr. Griffith was examined by Mr. Justice. He was asked whether he could give a receipt for the money. He said he could not. He was asked whether he could give a receipt for the money. He said he could not. He was asked whether he could give a receipt for the money. He said he could not.

attempting to obtain the sum of £10, by means of a false pretence, with intent to defraud. The witness was asked whether he could give a receipt for the money. He said he could not. He was asked whether he could give a receipt for the money. He said he could not.

Mr. Griffith was examined by Mr. Justice. He was asked whether he could give a receipt for the money. He said he could not. He was asked whether he could give a receipt for the money. He said he could not. He was asked whether he could give a receipt for the money. He said he could not.

Mr. Griffith was examined by Mr. Justice. He was asked whether he could give a receipt for the money. He said he could not. He was asked whether he could give a receipt for the money. He said he could not. He was asked whether he could give a receipt for the money. He said he could not.

Mr. Griffith was examined by Mr. Justice. He was asked whether he could give a receipt for the money. He said he could not. He was asked whether he could give a receipt for the money. He said he could not. He was asked whether he could give a receipt for the money. He said he could not.

Mr. Griffith was examined by Mr. Justice. He was asked whether he could give a receipt for the money. He said he could not. He was asked whether he could give a receipt for the money. He said he could not. He was asked whether he could give a receipt for the money. He said he could not.

## REVISION OF ELECTORAL ROLLS.

A court for the revision of the electoral rolls of the electorates of Epping and Paddington was held before Mr. A. M. Fisher, M.A., at the Water Police office yesterday morning. About 150 voters made application to have the names inserted on the electoral roll. It was stated that Mr. T. S. Parrott had entered no less than 651 objections to the names of persons already on the roll.

Amongst the names of those objected to by Mr. Parrott were the names of Messrs. A. M. Fisher, M.A., and J. H. B. D. Lee, D.M. The names of Messrs. A. M. Fisher, M.A., and J. H. B. D. Lee, D.M. were objected to by Mr. Parrott. The names of Messrs. A. M. Fisher, M.A., and J. H. B. D. Lee, D.M. were objected to by Mr. Parrott.

Mr. Fisher was examined by Mr. Justice. He was asked whether he could give a receipt for the money. He said he could not. He was asked whether he could give a receipt for the money. He said he could not. He was asked whether he could give a receipt for the money. He said he could not.

Mr. Fisher was examined by Mr. Justice. He was asked whether he could give a receipt for the money. He said he could not. He was asked whether he could give a receipt for the money. He said he could not. He was asked whether he could give a receipt for the money. He said he could not.

Mr. Fisher was examined by Mr. Justice. He was asked whether he could give a receipt for the money. He said he could not. He was asked whether he could give a receipt for the money. He said he could not. He was asked whether he could give a receipt for the money. He said he could not.

## THE CRED OF THE WORKING-MAN.

Mr. T. S. Parrott was examined by Mr. Justice. He was asked whether he could give a receipt for the money. He said he could not. He was asked whether he could give a receipt for the money. He said he could not. He was asked whether he could give a receipt for the money. He said he could not.

Mr. T. S. Parrott was examined by Mr. Justice. He was asked whether he could give a receipt for the money. He said he could not. He was asked whether he could give a receipt for the money. He said he could not. He was asked whether he could give a receipt for the money. He said he could not.

Mr. T. S. Parrott was examined by Mr. Justice. He was asked whether he could give a receipt for the money. He said he could not. He was asked whether he could give a receipt for the money. He said he could not. He was asked whether he could give a receipt for the money. He said he could not.

Mr. T. S. Parrott was examined by Mr. Justice. He was asked whether he could give a receipt for the money. He said he could not. He was asked whether he could give a receipt for the money. He said he could not. He was asked whether he could give a receipt for the money. He said he could not.

Mr. T. S. Parrott was examined by Mr. Justice. He was asked whether he could give a receipt for the money. He said he could not. He was asked whether he could give a receipt for the money. He said he could not. He was asked whether he could give a receipt for the money. He said he could not.



## AMUSEMENTS.

[illegible]



1889; and as to the misappropriation

and it was so clear that very little criticism was required. No new taxes were proposed, nor fiscal change of any kind; the only matter outside the Taxman's exposition of the accounts was the proposal for extinguishing the deficit, and that was a matter of a very simple nature. But the House has spent more time on the Budget than a businesslike Assembly would have found it necessary to devote to a complicated statement, bristling with new devices for raising revenue and new plans of expenditure. The subject was certainly an important one of high in-

portance in fact—and some debate was necessary. The leading members on both sides had a right to be heard, and it was essential for the guidance of the House that they should deal with the matter in hand. But the rare and file might have cultivated the virtue of reticence with advantage both to the public and themselves. Instead of that, members who had nothing to say insisted on boring the House with empty talk, making it difficult to keep a quorum in the Chamber. O

member would repeat almost exactly what another member had said the previous night, say the previous hour, and the wearisome killing of time went on. Some members were perhaps unconscious of the uselessness of their speeches, but others were quite alive to the situation. Even last night we had several members making the cool statement, as they rose to address the House, that they had really nothing to say; that they only spoke because they wanted to "in the fashion."

No one, we suppose, would wish

restrict fair and necessary debate in Parliament, but when members talk for the sake of talking, when they repeat almost word for word what has been said and others half-a-dozen times before, a vigorous protest is called for. The thing would be bad enough at any time but it is particularly objectionable now when urgent matters await decision and every moment is precious. The Land Bill is treated in the same way as the Budget has been dealt with and will simply be impossible to carry through this session. That measure will

raise questions of a debatable kind, as if all the points are discussed at length. The bill will be talked out of the House. The Government pledged itself to submit the Land Bill this session, and it has redeemed the pledge; but whether the measure will become law or not depends upon the House. If members talk over the clauses of the Land Bill as they have talked over the financial statement there will be no relief for the pastured tenants and selectors this session. Although the prospect of a short session has now disappeared, the business must

would up sooner or later, and it will be a question before long as to what matters must be dealt with, and what must be allowed to stand over. Now the Land Bill is a highly important measure, and its miscarriage would be a misfortune for the country, but at the same time it could be done without. The country has suffered much from the want of new land legislation, but it could suffer a little more in a financial business, however, must be supported; it cannot stand over. If there is a choice between passing the votes of a majority with the Land Bill the reformers

must be given to the former." The Government should make strenuous exertions to pass the Land Bill, and it should be assisted in doing so by those members of the Assembly who realise the urgency of the case; but circumstances may drive Ministers into a corner. They may have to say: "It is not a question of passing the Land Bill and the estimates; the question is, Can we ever pass the estimates?" And they might be obliged to leave the one to go on with the other; they might

have to content themselves with the Land Rates, which would mean that the Land Bill would drop out of existence. The members who wish to see the bill passed this session should help the Government by precept and practice in keeping down the propensity to excessive talk, which is destroying the usefulness of the Assembly. Unless there is some change the prospect of passing the Land Bill into law this session is a remote one.

Last night's debate, which was on the whole a very tedious affair, was redeemed somewhat by an excellent speech made

the MINISTER for WORKS. It was at once replied to Mr. GARNY's unfavourable view of the financial position, a defence of the TREASURER'S scheme for the Liquidation of the deficit, and an exhortation to prudence in expenditure. Talking about the disposal of the deficit led naturally to the consideration of its causes, in which Mr. BRUCE SMITH had no difficulty in attributing to extravagance. How this extravagance is promoted he was able to show by the experience he has met with during his short term of office. He has been opposed by members

of Parliament, he says, to adopt a course which, if carried out, would double the public debt. He mentioned cases illustrating the unreasonable demands of members of Parliament. One gentleman, he said, had gravely come to him with a proposal to substitute a steam punt for one worked by hand. The cost was estimated at £1800, and the change would involve the annual expenditure of £2000, a yet the only revenue expected from the punt was £40 a-year. He mentioned other cases in which he had been asked

to undertake work of a purely municipal character. What he has seen since he took office has convinced him that unless a bold stand is made against excessive expenditure we shall never be able to grapple with the financial problem. The principle laid down by the Minister for Works is new, but it is sound, and it cannot be kept too prominently in view. To make provision for the liquidation of one deficit, and then set to work straightaway to create another would be nothing less than madness.

The protectionists have used all devices to lessen the strength of free trade; but none of them in its ingenuity is equal to the attempt to disqualify club members. The chief peculiarity of the attempt is the fact that free-trade club members























**BANK STOCK, COAL, GOLD, and SILVER SHARES.**

**CITY AND SUBURBAN PROPERTIES**  
TO BE SOLD BY PUBLIC AUCTION,  
at the Rooms at 119, 121 and 123  
TO-MORROW, FRIDAY.

**BANK STOCK.** 61 New Shares, A. J. S. B. No. 1, 1900, for \$25 each, in lots.

**SHARES.** 1000 New Shares, A. J. S. B. No. 1, 1900, for \$25 each, in lots.

**BARRY HILL.** 1146 East Gravelly Company, Two Houses, Nos. 71 and 73, Foreman-street, between Elizabeth and Riley streets, and two Houses, Nos. 30 and 32, Sophie-street. Mortgage sale.

**CITY-ESTATE.** 55 Irving-street, Nos. 22 to 30, Irving-street, of Carlom-street, between West and between Tuck's

CITY.	Victoria a suburban township, and contains a number of small villages, and a large number of farms.
CHIPPENDALE.	Contains a number of farms, and a small village.
F.E.	Contains a number of farms, and a small village.
BALMAIN.	Contains a number of farms, and a small village.
BALMAIN.	Contains a number of farms, and a small village.
ULTIMO.	Contains a number of farms, and a small village.
MACDONALDSTOWN.	Contains a number of farms, and a small village.
PADDINGTON.	Contains a number of farms, and a small village.
BURWOOD.	Contains a number of farms, and a small village.

**LEICHRADT.**  
residence of Messrs. B. Jones and  
Holladay.  
Spiral concrete block Land, Rope  
and Jarve street.  
**BOOKWOOD.**  
and Dwelling, known as  
Mrs. Parkhill's, on main road, Ab-  
bebt Estate. Mortuary main.  
**HOLT-SUTTERLAND.**  
Lenses on this Estate.

(148) RICHARDSON AND WRENCH, Limited,  
WELL-PAYING INVESTMENTS.

FOR POSITIVE REAL  
EMU GRAVEL CO.'S shares (paid up)  
LEARNERHOOD, CHIPPENDALE  
WEAVE FRONTAGE, BALMAIN.

1. 1166 Share EMU GRAVEL CO., good stock

CHIPPENDALE (Leachell).  
VARIOUS Shops, Cottages etc. in Curlew, Row-  
man and Kewling streets—viz.,  
CLEVELAND STREET Nos. 100, and 102—  
the first named being a Shop and Dwelling, the other  
a Shop and Dwelling.

**BOWMAN-STREET**—Seven (7) small HOUSES, just off Broadway, between 10th and 11th streets, all on 20' lots. **RENT** \$200 per month. **PRICE** \$12,000.00.

**BOWMAN-STREET**—SHOP, No. 12, and THREE COTTAGES, Nos. 14, 16 and 18, all on 20' lots. **RENT** \$200 per month. **PRICE** \$12,000.00.

**BOWMAN-STREET**—Three Cottages, Nos. 16, 18, and 20 of brick; term about years, at Ground. **RENT** \$200 per month. **PRICE** \$12,000.00.

The margin of Ground Rent on Land in CLEVELAND—**EVERINGHAM-STREET** (No. 41) W.B. Cottages, etc.

**EVERINGHAM-STREET**—Large Frontage Land, adjoining No. 102, near to Abercrombie street, occupied by 10' lots.

**EVERINGHAM-STREET**—Two Cottages, Nos. 39, 41 and 43, of brick, each 5 rooms and kitchen. **RENT** \$200 per month. **PRICE** \$12,000.00.

On adjoining lot, a small 20' lot, \$200 per annum is derived; this added to income from, and the two Cottages, makes a total of about \$400 per annum, and the whole is sold against the whole is only \$25 1/2 lbs, thereby showing a margin of \$247 and 1/2 lbs.

The term for usually for three Leasehold is about 8 years to run.

The total is \$208 per \$200 per annum. Ground rents only \$25 1/2 lbs.

3. PRACOR'S POINT, MALMAIN.  
WATERHEAD BLOCK of 1/2 A.C.D., having a frontage of 568 ft. to HIGH WATER mark of Johnston's Bay, by a meandered line of about 1800 ft. to the frontage of the block of about 568 ft. on John-street; together with the meandered line of the frontage of the block of about 568 ft. on John-street for ward purpose, being practically in the harbour. It adjoins Mr. Davis's wharf for timber.

**RICHARDSON and WRENCH, Limited, will sell by public auction, on FRIDAY, 10th May, at 11 o'clock.**  
The above. To be sold in lots of 100 shares fully paid.

**KOL-KOOR-ROE**  
**GOLD and SILVER MINING SHARES.**  
6000 shares, fully paid up 25c each  
6000 dirt, contributing, and 1500 15c each  
On account of Mrs. Dransfield.

**RICHARDSON and WRENCH, Limited, will sell by public auction, on FRIDAY, 10th May, at 11 o'clock.**

**SHARE LANE.**

1000 NORTH ILLAWARRA COAL-MYING COMPANY, with capital £100,000, and 100,000 shares, is now opening up shares for sale. The shares are at present selling at 10s. 6d. per share. The shares are in the hands of the public, and are not in the hands of the company. The shares are in the hands of the public, and are not in the hands of the company. The shares are in the hands of the public, and are not in the hands of the company.

**RICHARDSON and WRENCH, Limited,** will sell by public auction at the Rooms, Pitt-street, on **FRIDAY, 14th MAY,** (147)  
The above.

**RICHARDSON and WRENCH (Limited)** will sell by public auction, in the Rooms, on **FRIDAY, 14th INSTANT,**  
THE **PORTLAND CEMENT WORKS, THE LINDSEY ESTATE**  
**ELGIN PARK ESTATE,**  
at Thirlmere Station.  
Fronting Railway line.  
only 4 miles beyond Pictou.  
acquires Mr. Goodell's Estate.  
only 23 hours from City.

KLOIN PARK ESTATE.  
KLOIN PARK ESTATE. 8-acre blocks.  
KLOIN PARK ESTATE. for Township and Villa Lots.  
KLOIN PARK ESTATE. Undulating Land.  
KLOIN PARK ESTATE. Terms very Liberal.  
KLOIN PARK ESTATE. Title, Townes' Act.  
KLOIN PARK ESTATE. SALE, NEXT SATURDAY.  
KLOIN PARK ESTATE. on the ground, at 3 p.m.  
KLOIN PARK ESTATE. Special Train.  
KLOIN PARK ESTATE. discounts, only 2s 6d return.  
KLOIN PARK ESTATE. Luncheon will be provided.  
KLOIN PARK ESTATE.  
Greenville, Liverpool. Train will stop at  
Liverpool, Southampton and Plymouth.  
MRS JAMES DRAGON AND WRENCE

of the celebrated  
REDFERN FIREHOLD ESTATE,  
at REDFERN and WATERLOO.  
To Builders, Manufacturers, Speculators, &c.

**RICHARDSON and WRENCH** will sell by public auction, at the Palace Hotel, on May 1st, at 11 o'clock,  
THE UNMOLD LOTS of the REDFERN ESTATES,  
viz.:—  
REDFERN, between Cleveland and Redfern streets, and near the City Road, 100 ft. by 110 ft. 11 ft. 6 in. B. lots 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, inclusive, each 1 ft. frontage to ELIZABETH-STREET, and 100 ft. frontage to CLEVELAND-STREET, extending 100 ft. to 110 ft. 11 ft. 6 in. to the City Road.

WATERLOO—Block & Sec. 8—Lots 37 to 23, each 22 ft. frontage to BOTANY-STREET, adjoining the Salvation Army Barracks, and 100 ft. frontage to ELIZABETH-STREET, extending 100 ft. to 110 ft. 11 ft. 6 in. to BULLMANING-DRIVE, abutting on above, depth 104 ft.

\* \* These freehold lots are worthy the attention of those who wish to build on the Palace Hotel Estate.

**Plans on view at the Museum.**

**TUESDAY, SATURDAY AND SUNDAY**,  
from three months without interest; balance, five per cent. at a particular time.  
**cost.**

**ON THE GROUND, SATURDAY NEXT, MAY 11.**

**12 VALUABLE FREEHOLD BUILDING SITES,**  
on the  
GRAPTON ESTATE, WOOLLAHA,  
just beyond  
Messrs. BURNOS and LEGGON'S BREWERY.

**6 ALLOTMENTS**  
having together 190ft. frontage to  
OLD SOUTH HEAD-ROAD,  
varying in depths from 100 ft. to 180 ft.,  
being Lots 2 to 14, Section F.

**6 ALLOTMENTS**  
having together 204ft. 6in. frontage to  
EDGECLIFFE-ROAD,  
by depths varying 101ft.,  
being Lots 20 to 25, Section F.

**TITLE COOPER'S FREEHOLD.**

**TERMS**—10 per cent. deposit; balance in 5, 10, 15, 20 months, 6 per cent. interest.

**HARDIE and GORMAN** have received instructions from the owner, on account of the protracted depression in the building trade, to dispose of the above property for Europe, to sell by public auction, at the office of the above-named valuers, at 11, Abchurch Lane, LONDON, E.C. 4, on **WEDNESDAY, AFTERNOON, May 11, 1932, at 2 o'clock.**

The above-described **VALUABLE BUILDING SITE** is situated in **WOLLINGTON, in ONE LOT, or in LOTS in SUIT PUBLISHERS.**

**PLANS BY HAND.**

**TO FARMERS, VIGNERONS, ORCHARDISTS, BOTTLEERS, ESTATE AGENTS, LIVERPOOL, NOT ALTHORPES, BUT ACRES EAST TERMS AND FREE TRANSFERS. H. and G.**







**T Cook wants PLACE, gent.'s fam.**

**T Cook wants PLACE, gent.'s fam.**

[illegible]